| | | FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT | | | 6, 1111, 3 | |
|--|---|---|------------------|-------------------------|------------|---|
| | | DON RHEW Plaintiff. v. THEODIS BECK Defendant(s). |)))) | 7; 05-6189 [\] | JUN 272 | |
| ORDER ON APPLICATION TO PROCEED ON APPEAL WITHOUT PREPAYMENT OF FEES | | | | | | |
| | Having considered the application to proceed on appeal without prepayment of fees under | | | | | |
| Fed. R. App. P. 24 and 28 U.S.C. § 1915. IT IS ORDERED that the application is: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | X |
| | DENI | DENIED, because: | | | | |
| | □ The applicant does not qualify as a pauper. □ The appeal is clearly frivolous and not taken in good faith based on a review of the | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | issues sought to be raised on appeal and a review of the judgment or order from | | | | | |
| | | which an appeal is sought. | | | | |
| | | Grue A T United States District | Magistrate Ju | ıdge | | |

, 2005

June